# How Well Are Your Dairy Cows Performing When It Comes to Milk Quality?



College of Agriculture, Food and Environment Cooperative Extension Service

### Part 2: Evaluating Cows in the Milking Herd

By Donna M. Amaral-Phillips and Kas Ingawa, DRMS

Routinely assessing the outcome of mastitis prevention programs in a dairy herd is an important, on-going job of dairy managers. Somatic cell counts (SCC) on bulk tank milk can help managers assess the overall impact of mastitis prevention programs, but offer little direction on where to look for and resolve issues or identify which cows or group of cows the issue resides. In a recent KY Dairy Notes article, the use of SCC records to evaluate mastitis prevention practices in newly fresh cows was discussed. Besides completing evaluations for fresh cows, evaluating the on-going successes or failures of mastitis preventative programs for all cows and groups of cows in the milking herd needs to be routinely completed. This evaluation allows one to quickly detect arising issues and target needed changes in management practices to correct an increasing SCC. To achieve this management goal, data need to be summarized for groups of cows and over time, such as that achieved on DHI summary reports or reports from software programs associated with various milk metering technology or robotic milking systems. For example, the DHI-302 report summarizes data allowing one to review mastitis prevention protocols at a glance. By having a printed report (or routinely accessing these data electronically), one can quickly detect areas of concern so that changes can be made to prevent increases in bulk tank SCC. For this discussion, data provided in reports available through DRMS will be used, specifically the DHI-302 report.

Figure 1.	Production Averages												
	Quantity						Quality						
	Milk	Fresh				%	%	Raw	LS	Number	Fresh	New Infections	
Date	Cows	Cows	DIM	Milk	MLM	Fat	Pro	SCC	SCC	Infections	Infections	number	%
08-07-xx+1	107	16	193	75	83	3.2	3.1	111	1.9	12	4	10	10
06-15-xx+1	110	6	194	75	82	3.3	3.1	120	1.6	10		6	5
05-11-xx+1	116	17	182	73	79	3.8	3.2	186	2.5	15	4	8	7
04-20-xx+1	107	7	197	77	82	3.9	3.2	135	2.4	13	1	6	6
03-23-xx+1	106	9	196	77	83	3.9	3.3	88	2.1	7		3	3
02-16-xx+1	113	16	191	76	82	4.4	3.4	105	2.2	18	3	7	6
01-11-xx+1	109	7	187	75	80	4.2	3.3	226	2.4	18	1	4	4
12-10-xx	115	10	186	73	79	4.3	3.3	206	2.7	24	2	5	4
11-17-xx	119	12	197	74	83	3.9	3.3	223	2.9	33	3	10	8
10-13-xx	111	7	189	82	90	3.9	3.3	233	2.5	25	3	7	6
09-15-xx	121	13	193	85	94	3.9	3.2	243	2.5	31	5	8	7
Averages	112	11	191	76	83	3.9	3.2	171	2.3	20	2	7	6

Educational programs of Kentucky Cooperative Extension serve all people regardless of race, color, age, sex, religion, disability, or national origin.

#### Number of cows within the herd with SCC greater than 200,000 on test day (see figure 1)

Cows having a SCC greater than 200,000 cells/mL are considered to have mastitis even if they do not have visible signs of an infection. In this example herd on the current test day, 12 cows of the 107 milking cows had a SCC greater than 200,000 cells/mL. Of these 12 cows, 4 cows came fresh with elevated SCC and an additional 6 cows (10 total cows with new infection minus 4 fresh infections) at other stages of lactation have a new infection. Thus, leaving only 2 cows chronically having an elevated SCC. Thus, in this example herd, most of the cows with a SCC greater than 200,000 cells/mL on this test day had new infections (4 fresh cows and 6 additional milking cows). Along with culture results from those cows with new infections, control points can be identified, evaluated, and changes made as needed. For example, if these new infections were environmental in nature, re-evaluating management practices associated with housing are in order for both fresh cows and those in the milking herd.

#### Percentage of herd with new infections (see figure 2)

By calculating the percentage of cows with a new mastitis infection versus just the number of new infections, changes in number of cows within the herd can be taken into account. Ideally, less than 8% of the herd should have a new infection. Although this herd has a low bulk tank SCC, the percentage of the herd with new infections is starting to climb compared to previous months.

Figure 2. Production Averages													
	Quantity						Quality						
Date	Milk Cows	Fre sh Cows	DIM	Milk	MLM	% Fat	% Pro	Raw SCC	LS SCC	Number Infections	Fresh Infections	New Infe number	ctions %
08-07-xx+1	107	16	193	75	83	3.2	3.1	111	1.9	12	4	10	10
06-15-xx+1	110	6	194	75	82	3.3	3.1	120	1.6	10		6	5
05-11-xx+1	116	17	182	73	79	3.8	3.2	186	2.5	15	4	8	7
04-20-xx+1	107	7	197	77	82	3.9	3.2	135	2.4	13	1	6	6
03-23-xx+1	106	9	196	77	83	3.9	3.3	88	2.1	7		3	3
10-13-xx	111	7	189	82	90	3.9	3.3	233	2.5	25	3	7	6
09-15-xx	121	13	193	85	94	3.9	3.2	243	2.5	31	5	8	7
Averages	112	11	191	76	83	3.9	3.2	171	2.3	20	2	7	6

Educational programs of Kentucky Cooperative Extension serve all people regardless of race, color, age, sex, religion, disability, or national origin.

#### **Current SCC evaluation (see figure 3)**

Like the DHI-202 Herd Summary, the DHI-302 report generates a summary of SCC by lactation number. The one difference is this report segregates cows into different linear score SCC categories, i.e. separating

out cows from 0 to 1 and 2 to 3 versus 0 through 3 SCC linear scores as seen on a DHI-202 Herd Summary report. In addition, cows with SCC scores between 4 and 6 (200,000 to 1,600,000 actual SCC) are grouped together. In this example, first-calf heifers are higher in SCC than the mature cows on the current test day. Heifers should be lower than the mature cows.

Management practices for these heifers both after and before calving should be

evaluated and changes made as necessary.

Figure 3	<u>.</u>	Current SCC Evaluation							
	scc	%		% Cows by Linear Score					
Cows	LS	infected	Lact	0,1	2,3	4,5,6	7,8,9		
36	2.5	19	1	42	26	29	3		
30	1.5	10	2	67	17	17			
39	1.9	18	3+	54	28	15	3		
105	1.9	15	All	53	25	19	2		

## Changes in SCC status on the current test day in comparison to the previous test day (see figure 4)

Ideally, we would like to see 85% of the cows in the negative category (SCC less than 200,000) with the number of cures greater than the number of new infections. New infections should ideally be less than 8 to 10%. In this example herd, only 2% (2 cows) of 90 total cows were classified as having a SCC over 200,000 repeatedly or in the chronic category at the current test day. As seen above, the percentage of new infections was greater than the number of cures. In this table, fresh cows are not included since their last test day was over 75 days ago.

<u>Figure 4.</u> Changes in SCC Status (Distribution of Cows Sampled)							
Current vs. Last Test (%)							
Cures 6	Chronics 2						
Negatives New Infections 78 14							
Based on 90 Cows Sampled							

#### Summary for the year by days in milk and lactation number (see figure 5)

This table calculates the percentage of cows within a particular lactation number and by days in milk where their SCC was above 200,000 cells/mL for each of the categories by days in milk (DIM). From this example, first lactation heifers have a higher percentage of cows above the threshold for both the first 30 DIM and the 30 to 220 DIM groups. All lactation number groups have a higher percentage of cows infected or with a SCC above 200,000 cells/mL the first 30 DIM, suggesting evaluation of management programs related to the transition back into the herd is warranted.

Figure 5.								
Yearly SCC Summary								
1	% Infected by DIM							
Lact	< 30	< 30 30-220						
1	33	21	19					
2	29	13	21					
3+	24	13	21					
All 28 16 20								
Based on 1215 samples								

#### **Bottom Line:**

Regularly reviewing SCC data is an important component associated with managing a successful preventative mastitis program. By routinely reviewing these results shortly after testing, trends in a positive or negative direction can be detected and corrections implemented, if needed. Summary reports like the DHI-302 allow one to quickly detect changes within different groups of cows at a glance and make management easier within the tight labor and time constants seen on-farm.

Educational programs of Kentucky Cooperative Extension serve all people regardless of race, color, age, sex, religion, disability, or national origin.